# LM3447: Performance Analysis (43 EVM Production Samples) 
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## Design Example: LM3447-A19-230VEVM (Online: http://www.ti.com/tool/Im3447-a19-230vevm)



TI Information - Selective Disclosure

|  | Typ | Units |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Input Voltage | 230 | $\mathrm{~V}_{\text {RMS }}$ |
| Output Voltage | 30 | V |
| LED Current | 275 | mA |
| Output Power | 8.25 | W |
| Input Power | 10.1 | W |
| Efficiency | 82 | $\%$ |
| Power Factor | 0.95 |  |

- EVM production lot $=150$
- Sampled size = 43
- Random sampling
- LM3447MT - Tape \& Reel
- Xfmr - 750815047 Wurth Electronics China


## Schematic: LM3447-A19-230VEVM



## LM3447: Theoretical Analysis (1)

$$
P_{I N}=\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{G_{F F}^{2} V_{R E F}^{2}}{L_{M} f_{S}}\left(\frac{R_{A C}}{R_{F F}}\right)^{2}
$$

- $P_{\text {IN }}$ - Input power
- $L_{M}$ - Primary side magnetizing inductance
- $f_{s}$ - Switching frequency
- $G_{F F}$ - Internal gain
- $V_{\text {REF }}$ - Internal reference
- $R_{A C}-A C$ sense resistor (R4)
- $R_{F F}-$ Feedforward resistor (R13)
- Input power is function of external components
- Impact of magnetizing inductance: $L_{M}$
- Inversely proportionality
- Manufacturing spec < $\pm 10 \%$
- Based on air-gap tolerance (independent of Ferrite material)
- External resistors (ratio)
$-R_{A C} \& R_{F F}$ with $\pm 1 \%$ tolerance
- Internal IC parameters trimmed and tested to industry standards


## Input Power Variation (Production Run)

- Estimating board-to-board variations

Histogram



Bin at $230 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{RMS}}, 50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ operating point

- Sample size = 43 boards
- Mean input power $=10.1 \mathrm{~W}$
- Std. Dev. (1 sigma) $=221 \mathrm{~mW}$
- 3 sigma variation $= \pm 6.6 \%$


## LED Current Variation

- Estimating board-to-board variations at $230 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {RMS }}, 50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ operating point
- LED Load = 10 Rebel LEDs
- Sample size = 43 boards
- Mean LED current $=284 \mathrm{~mA}$
- Std. Dev. ( 1 sigma) $=5.86 \mathrm{~mA}$
- 3 sigma variation= $\pm 6.19 \%$
- LED current range depends on magnetizing inductance variation


## Input Power vs. Input Voltage (210V to 260V)



- Input power range for sample size of 43 boards ( $210 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {RMs }}$ to $260 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {RMS }}$ )
$-\quad$ Min $=9.44 \mathrm{~W}(-5.7 \%)$
- $\mathrm{Max}=10.45 \mathrm{~W}$ (+4.4\%)
- Average $=10.01 \mathrm{~W}$
- Input power deviation at any given voltage $< \pm 7.5 \%$ (3 sigma)
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## Input Power vs. Input Voltage: Extended Range (180V to 260V)



## LED Current vs. Input Voltage



- LED current range for sample size of 43 boards ( $210 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {RMS }}$ to $260 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {RMS }}$ )
$-\mathrm{Min}=265.7 \mathrm{~mA}(-5.74 \%)$
- Max $=293.5 \mathrm{~mA}(+4.12 \%)$
- Average $=282 \mathrm{~mA}$
- LED current deviation at any given voltage $< \pm 7 \%$ (3 sigma)
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## LED Current vs. Input Voltage: Extended Range (180V to 260V)



## Power Factor vs. Input Voltage



## Summary

- Input power and LED current dependent on
- Magnetizing inductance ( $L_{M}$ )
- External resistors ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{AC}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{FF}}$ )
- Internal IC parameters ( $\left.\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{FF}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{REF}}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{*}$
- Theoretical analysis can be performed based on mathematical relation derived DCM Flyback PFC converter
- Experimental analysis performed for LM3447-A19-230VEVM using a sample size of 43 boards and 10 series connected Luxeon Rebel LED load board
- Input power variation at $230 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {RMS }}, 50 \mathrm{~Hz}= \pm 6.6 \%$ ( 3 sigma)
- LED current variation at $230 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {RMS }}, 50 \mathrm{~Hz}= \pm 6.19 \%$ ( 3 sigma)
- Input power variation at any voltage between $210-260 \mathrm{~V}< \pm 7.5 \%$ ( 3 sigma)
- LED current variation at any voltage between $210-260 \mathrm{~V}< \pm 7 \%$ (3 sigma)
- Input power variation is within the desired specification over input range (3 sigma)
- LED current variation is close to the desired specification over input range (3 sigma)
- Expecting lower luminous flux variation over different LED bins, operating temperature and life time using power regulation approach
- Improved LED current matching can be achieved by reducing magnetizing inductance variation during manufacturing


# Discussion: Comparing Power Regulation with Current Regulation Control 


 Constant Power Operation

- Measured experimental data for 18 CREE MC-E LEDs
- Constant current ( 350 mA ) operation
- Maximum luminous flux difference of $8.5 \%$
- Constant power (4 W) operation
- Maximum luminous flux difference of $6.7 \%$
- Power regulation approach is suggested to be better than current regulation when considering LED manufacturing variations


## Delivering MORE Together

